



JOY MORRISSEY MP

Member of Parliament for Beaconsfield

Ms Laura Peplow
Buckinghamshire Council
Aylesbury
Buckinghamshire
HP20 1UA

Our Ref: JM15875

21 July 2021

Dear Laura,

Re: Objection to planning application PL/20/3280/OA

I am writing to place on record my objection to the proposed expansion of the Pinewood Studios site, as laid out in this application.

The first point of concern is that this development would be on Green Belt land. Given the very little Green Belt land left as open green space in the Ivers, I do not believe it is possible to mitigate the loss of the 33 hectares identified in this application.

The specific site in question forms the strategic gap of Green Belt land that separates Buckinghamshire from Slough. Eliminating that protection by granting this application would leave the Ivers and the wider south Buckinghamshire area open to urban sprawl and potential encroachment from Slough. This is totally unacceptable.

The area proposed for development is in the middle of the Colne Valley Regional Park area and in very close proximity to Black Park, which is a key feature of CVRP. The approval of this application would constitute significant loss of amenity to local residents as it would impede their access to and enjoyment of Black Park, a vital and treasured local asset.

This development would compound a number of issues that are already putting pressure on the health and wellbeing of local residents. The level of traffic flow through the surrounding area is very high, causing problems of road safety, congestion, noise pollution, and air quality.

Every one of these problems, which are without sufficient mitigation before this development, would be substantially worsened were it to be approved. In particular, with its proximity to Black Park, I worry about the damage to nature caused by the associated reduction in air quality.

This development would have a significant negative effect on local residents, the local environment, and the pace of development across the south of Buckinghamshire.

Yours sincerely

Joy Morrissey MP



Directorate for Planning, Growth and Sustainability

King George V House, King George V Road, Amersham, Bucks HP6 5AW

planning.csb@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

01494 732950 | 01895 837210

www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Ms Joy Morrissey MP
House Of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Officer: Laura Peplow

Email: planning.comments.csb@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Tel: 01494 732950 / 01895 837210

Ref: PL/20/3280/OA

22 July 2021

Dear Ms Morrissey MP

Application type: Outline Planning Permission
Location: Land South Of Pinewood Studios, Pinewood Road, Iver Heath, Buckinghamshire, SL0 0NH
Proposal: Outline planning permission with all matters reserved (except for principal points of access) for the phased development of a screen industries global growth hub of up to 750,000 sq ft (70,000 sq m) comprising: - A visitor attraction of 350,000 sq ft comprising a series of buildings, - 350,000 sq ft of film production buildings (including sound stages, workshops, offices and an external film backlot), - An education hub (25,000 sq ft), - A business growth hub (25,000 sq ft), - Associated parking and servicing, - Green Infrastructure

I acknowledge receipt of your recent comment regarding the above application. I will ensure that your representations are taken into account when the application is being considered. The notes overleaf explain the decision process. I hope you will find these helpful.

You can view documents and check the progress of the application on the Council's Public Access System at: www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'view planning applications'. If you wish to comment on the application, please go to the Comments tab on Public Access. Commenting this way helps to protect your personal data and enables you to track the application. If you have no means of viewing or commenting on the application online, please contact the Planning Service to discuss suitable arrangements.

All comments are available to view online, whilst the application remains undecided, so please only provide details that are appropriate to be published. We endeavour to ensure that signatures, telephone numbers and personal email addresses are removed from view. Please refer to the Council's Privacy Notices.

Yours sincerely

Laura Peplow
Planning Officer

Representations on Planning Applications - What Happens Next

The representations you have made will be carefully considered and taken into account when a decision is made on the application - though the decision will not necessarily go the way you want it to.

Most applications are determined under the delegated powers of the Council's Service Director of Planning and Environment, even if there are objections. However, Councillors may refer applications to the Planning Committee for decision. The Planning Committee is a public meeting we will write to you if an application is to be referred to the Planning Committee. This will include information about how to register to speak at the Planning Committee meeting, if you wish to.

Reports for Planning Committee are published at least five working days before the meeting and can be seen at www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'decision making', then select

- **Planning Committee dates, agenda papers and minutes** link at the bottom of the webpage and then
- **Browse meetings and agendas for this committee**, to see the current meeting dates.

Minutes of the Planning Committee are available approximately one week after the meeting, in the same locations. You can check the progress of an application on Council's Public Access website at www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'view planning applications'

After the decision has been issued it will be available to view on the Council's website, under the application reference number. You will be able to see the full decision notice including any conditions or reasons for refusal under the 'Associated Documents' tab.

Please note that sometimes the applicant will withdraw an application before we have reached a decision. If so, this information will be shown on the website.

If the Council refuses the application, takes a long time deciding it, or the applicant cannot accept one or more of the conditions on the decision notice, the applicant may appeal to the Planning Inspectorate. If so, we will write to you again. Please note a copy of all representations will be forwarded to the Planning Inspectorate and the Appellant.



JOY MORRISSEY MP

Member of Parliament for Beaconsfield

Mr Graham Mansfield
Buckinghamshire Council
Aylesbury
Buckinghamshire
HP20 1UA

Our Ref: JM15770

16 July 2021

Dear Graham,

Re: Objection to planning application PL/20/4332/OA

I am writing to object, in the strongest possible terms, to the planning application (referenced above) for a motorway service area to be built in Iver.

First and foremost, this development would destroy a swathe of Green Belt land in an area where green spaces are already under very significant pressure. The loss of this land would have a cascading effect, weakening the protection of other nearby land, which would be orphaned by this development. Further to this, there is no possible mitigation that would compensate for the loss of this amount of Green Belt land, in this location.

This development would compound a number of issues that are already putting pressure on the health and wellbeing of local residents. The level of traffic flow through the surrounding area is very high, causing problems of road safety, congestion, noise pollution, and air quality. Every one of these problems, which are without sufficient mitigation before this development, would be substantially worsened were it to be approved.

Further to this, a similar proposal was made for an Iver MSA previously, which was subject to a full public enquiry. The result of that process was a determination that an Iver MSA would be inappropriate, for a number of reasons, most of which are still extant. In fact, pressure on the Ivers and particularly their natural environment and green spaces has increased since that time. If it was not appropriate then, it certainly wouldn't be now.

Specifically, the placement of the proposed site will eradicate the one remaining buffer of green space between Iver Heath and Uxbridge. This is not only an intrusion into the countryside but a clear example of the kind of urban encroachment that the Green Belt was implemented to stop. I have no desire to see London start to swallow up the south of Buckinghamshire, an unwelcome but inevitable consequence of approval being granted to this application.

Yours sincerely

Joy Morrissey MP



Directorate for Planning, Growth and Sustainability

King George V House, King George V Road, Amersham, Bucks HP6 5AW

planning.csb@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

01494 732950 | 01895 837210

www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Ms Joy Morrissey MP
House Of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Officer: Mr Graham Mansfield

Email: planning.comments.csb@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Tel: 01494 732950 / 01895 837210

Ref: PL/20/4332/OA

22 July 2021

Dear Ms Morrissey MP

Application type: Outline Planning Permission
Location: Land To The North Of A4007, Slough Road, (Between Junctions 15 and 16 Of The M25), Iver Heath, Buckinghamshire
Proposal: Outline application for a Motorway Service Area between M25 junctions 15 and 16 near Iver Heath with all matters reserved, comprising vehicular access from the M25 including new overbridge and realignment of the A4007 Slough Road, a controlled vehicular access from the A4007 for emergency vehicles only, including a staff drop off point and associated footway works to Slough Road, facilities buildings, Drive-Thru, fuel filling stations, electric vehicle charging, parking facilities, service yard, vehicle circulation, landscaping, woodland and amenity spaces, Sustainable Drainage Systems, a diverted public bridleway; together with associated mitigation and infrastructure and with earthworks / enabling works including mineral extraction.

I acknowledge receipt of your recent comment regarding the above application. I will ensure that your representations are taken into account when the application is being considered. The notes overleaf explain the decision process. I hope you will find these helpful.

You can view documents and check the progress of the application on the Council's Public Access System at: www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'view planning applications'. If you wish to comment on the application, please go to the Comments tab on Public Access. Commenting this way helps to protect your personal data and enables you to track the application. If you have no means of viewing or commenting on the application online, please contact the Planning Service to discuss suitable arrangements.

All comments are available to view online, whilst the application remains undecided, so please only provide details that are appropriate to be published. We endeavour to ensure that signatures, telephone numbers and personal email addresses are removed from view. Please refer to the Council's Privacy Notices.

Yours sincerely

Mr Graham Mansfield
Principal Planning Officer

Representations on Planning Applications - What Happens Next

The representations you have made will be carefully considered and taken into account when a decision is made on the application - though the decision will not necessarily go the way you want it to.

Most applications are determined under the delegated powers of the Council's Service Director of Planning and Environment, even if there are objections. However, Councillors may refer applications to the Planning Committee for decision. The Planning Committee is a public meeting we will write to you if an application is to be referred to the Planning Committee. This will include information about how to register to speak at the Planning Committee meeting, if you wish to.

Reports for Planning Committee are published at least five working days before the meeting and can be seen at www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'decision making', then select

- **Planning Committee dates, agenda papers and minutes** link at the bottom of the webpage and then
- **Browse meetings and agendas for this committee**, to see the current meeting dates.

Minutes of the Planning Committee are available approximately one week after the meeting, in the same locations. You can check the progress of an application on Council's Public Access website at www.buckinghamshire.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-applications/, select the appropriate local area link and search for 'view planning applications'

After the decision has been issued it will be available to view on the Council's website, under the application reference number. You will be able to see the full decision notice including any conditions or reasons for refusal under the 'Associated Documents' tab.

Please note that sometimes the applicant will withdraw an application before we have reached a decision. If so, this information will be shown on the website.

If the Council refuses the application, takes a long time deciding it, or the applicant cannot accept one or more of the conditions on the decision notice, the applicant may appeal to the Planning Inspectorate. If so, we will write to you again. Please note a copy of all representations will be forwarded to the Planning Inspectorate and the Appellant.



JOY MORRISSEY MP
Member of Parliament for Beaconsfield

Baroness Vere
Parliamentary Under Secretary of State
Department for Transport
Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road
London
SW1P 4DR

Our Ref: JM15925

23 July 2021

Dear Baroness Vere,

Re: Operators licencing regime

I have been contacted by a number of constituents, in Iver, about the way that the HGV operators licencing regime is currently functioning.

Iver has an unusually high level of HGV traffic for any area, particularly exacerbated by the large number of sites operating HGVs, the unsuitable nature of the roads for HGV transit, and the actions of neighbouring local authorities to use traffic measures, such as width restrictions, to direct their HGV traffic through south Buckinghamshire.

These HGVs are regularly observed to break speed limits, mount pavements and drive down roads which are clearly not suitable for their use. I myself have been within a few feet of an HGV mounting the pavement on more than one occasion. I am concerned that there seems to be little recourse for this in the licencing regime.

Yours sincerely

Joy Morrissey MP



Department for Transport

Joy Morrissey MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Baroness Vere of Norbiton
Minister for Roads, Buses and Places

Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road
London
SW1P 4DR

Tel: 0300 330 3000
E-Mail: baroness.vere@dft.gov.uk

Web site: www.gov.uk/dft

Our Ref: MC/357814
Your Ref: JM15925

4 August 2021

Dear Joy,

Thank you for your letter of 23 July, regard correspondence from your constituents, about the way the operator licensing regime functions.

The Traffic Commissioners powers are limited to those set out in the legislation and are not intended to replace the planning regime on the impact on the wider area. Powers are targeted at the use of the site and the point at which it joins the public highway. The Traffic Commissioner has no powers over the use of certain roads or restrictions on certain roads, and whilst I entirely appreciate the concerns that you have in relation to the level of HGV traffic and the safety of your constituents, these are matters for the local highway authority to consider.

It is the view of the Department that the respective powers that traffic commissioners and local high way authorities have, should remain distinct and separate as defined in the legislation.

You may be interested to know that a triennial review into the function of the Traffic Commissioners (TCs) has recently commenced. Specifically this review is looking at:

- Whether the functions that are provided by and in the name of TCs are required, and continue to contribute to the core objectives of the DfT;
- whether the TCs are carrying out their functions effectively and efficiently;
- whether the current Office of the Traffic Commissioner (OTC) DVSA-provided support and TC arrangements best support the delivery of these functions;
- whether the OTC support continues to be provided by DVSA, or would be more effective if separated, given DVSA's enforcement functions and the TC role as independent regulators;

- the effectiveness and appropriateness of existing governance, accountability, assurance and intelligence sharing arrangements; and,
- the appropriateness of delivering additional functions.

Upon conclusion, the review will provide robust recommendations where opportunities for improvement are identified. A report summarising the review findings will be published in due course.

As you may be aware, last year the Government announced its plans to implement the moving traffic enforcement powers in Part 6 of the Traffic Management Act 2004. This change will enable local authorities outside of London to enforce against contraventions of moving traffic offences, including against HGVs. I am pleased to say that work is well underway on drafting the regulations and guidance necessary to implement this new enforcement regime. Implementation will require a number of statutory instruments to be made covering matters such as enforcement, level of penalties, approved devices, adjudication, representations and appeals.

Once the regulations are in force, local authorities will be able to apply to the Secretary of State to take responsibility for enforcement of moving traffic offences in their respective areas. If taken up, these new powers offer a local authority the tools to address some of the issues your letter raises.

Yours,
Charlotte

BARONESS VERE OF NORBITON



JOY MORRISSEY MP
Member of Parliament for Beaconsfield

Baroness Vere
Parliamentary Under Secretary of State
Department for Transport
Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road
London
SW1P 4DR

Our Ref: JM15930

23 July 2021

Dear Baroness Vere,

Re: Bus routes in the Ivers

Many of my constituents in the Ivers are very concerned by the diminishing availability of public transport, specifically buses, in their area.

The Ivers are in a unique geographical location, closed in by Hillingdon on one side and Slough on the other. This means that the area is very close to places that have excellent provision, but do not benefit from those services, making it liable to be forgotten or overlooked in allocating resources.

Most concerning to local residents in the loss of the route 582 bus service, which also impacts my constituents in Denham. The loss of this service has left the people of the Ivers, an area already under intense pressure from development with no accompanying infrastructure improvements, feeling cut off and underserved.

Could I ask that the route 582 bus service be revisited, with a view to ensuring that the Ivers are not caught in the connectivity gap between London and Slough.

Yours sincerely

Joy Morrissey MP



Department
for Transport

Joy Morrissey MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Baroness Vere of Norbiton
Minister for Roads, Buses and Places

Great Minster House
33 Horseferry Road
London
SW1P 4DR

Tel: 0300 330 3000
E-Mail: baroness.vere@dft.gov.uk

Web site: www.gov.uk/dft

Our Ref: MC/357790
Your Ref: JM15930

11 August 2021

Dear Joy,

Thank you for your email of 23 July 2021 about the provision of bus services in the Ivers.

The Government recognises the importance that public transport has for the sustainability and independence of communities, especially those in rural areas, and the role that public transport plays in combatting social isolation and loneliness. Our recently published National Bus Strategy is explicit about seeking to ensure that the needs of rural transport users are given equal consideration to those in urban environments and is supportive of improving the connectivity of isolated rural communities and those with infrequent and unreliable services in England. Backed by £3 billion of transformative funding over the current Parliament, the strategy aims to deliver better bus services for passengers through ambitious and far-reaching reform of how services are planned and delivered.

We expect all Local Transport Authorities to publish a local Bus Service Improvement Plan (BSIP) by the end of October. These new plans must set out how authorities will use their Enhanced Partnership or franchising scheme to deliver an ambitious vision for travel by bus, meeting the goals and expectations in this strategy and driven by what passengers and would-be passengers want in their area. BSIPs will influence the share of the £3 billion that each authority receives and these should include plans for addressing the underprovision and overprovision of bus services.

I was pleased to hear that on 29 June, Buckinghamshire Council approved the development of an Enhanced Partnership and have subsequently published a formal notification of intent on their website. The council plans to engage with bus users regarding their BSIP development over the summer

and I would encourage you to contact them on behalf of your constituents if you have not already done so.

I hope my reply reassures you that the Government is committed to improving bus services and delivering the transformation that passengers deserve.

Yours,
Charlotte

BARONESS VERE OF NORBITON



JOY MORRISSEY MP

Member of Parliament for Beaconsfield

Mr Robert Majilton
Deputy Chief Officer Buckinghamshire CCG
Buckinghamshire Clinical Commissioning Group
Study Centre
New County Offices
Walton Street
Aylesbury
HP20 1UX

Our Ref: JM15901

22 July 2021

Dear Mr Majilton,

Re: Serious concerns regarding Iver Medical Centre

Over recent weeks and months I have had significant and growing concerns over the Iver Medical Centre. Constituents in the area covered by the practice have reported a level of service that is so limited as to constitute a complete lack of provision.

Many of my constituents now feel that they effectively have no access to local primary care in the Ivers. Communication with the practice is difficult or impossible in many cases and never timely or satisfactory. As far as I am concerned, this is a completely unacceptable level of provision, which is leaving many of my constituents feeling cut off from the care they need.

I have had some previous experience of these problems, with the Iver Medical Centre refusing to cooperate and work with other bodies, including the CCG, early in the vaccine rollout program. Unwilling to provide any staff or resources to support this vital national effort, the practice allowed patients to go unvaccinated and with no idea when they would receive the jab, refusing to hand over their patient list.

It was only when I, and local Councillors like Cllr Wendy Matthews, contacted the CCG and asked for help, was action compelled. So I am writing to you again to ask for action to be taken. What is required now is a full investigation of the Iver Medical Centre by the CCG, to determine if it is capable of delivering the services it must. Could you advise me as to what steps the CCG will take to begin this process.

Yours sincerely

Joy Morrissey MP



Buckinghamshire
Clinical Commissioning Group

Our Reference: 17448
1 September 2021

Joy Morrissey
Member of Parliament for
Beaconsfield
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Study Centre
New County Offices
Walton Street
Aylesbury
HP20 1UX

Tel: 01296 587220
Email: buckscogs@nhs.net

By Email joy.morrissey.mp@parliament.uk

Dear Ms Morrissey

Case Reference 15901

Dear

Concerns re Iver Medical Practice, ref JM15901

Thank you for contacting me regarding concerns that you and your constituents have regarding the performance of Ivers Medical Practice, which I am sorry to hear about.

When general concerns about a practice are raised the CCG, as commissioner of primary medical services on behalf of NHS England, will work with the Care Quality Commission, as the Regulator of NHS services, to investigate what is happening and support the practice to make improvement.

In order to investigate this thoroughly it would be helpful for our Primary Care and Quality teams to have specific examples of the poor practice you mention, for example, inability to contact the practice by telephone, concerns about the standard of patient care, administrative problems or poor customer service. The CCG will look at these examples to build up a picture of the issues that may need to be reviewed as part of ongoing performance and quality monitoring but is unable to investigate the detail of individual complaints. These should follow the NHS Complaints Procedure which requires that in the first instance the provider of services, that is Ivers Medical Practice Manager (Rebecca Ellison Rebecca.ellison5@nhs.net) has the opportunity to respond. Therefore, constituents should contact the practice manager who will be able to advise on their complaints procedure and co-ordinate a response. Should the complainant not be satisfied then the practice will also be able to advise on the escalation route. As part of quality reviews the CCG will scrutinise the practice's complaints process and get assurance that all complaints have been properly investigated and responded to.

With regard to the Ivers Medical Practice not participating in the covid vaccination programme, I can understand that it was frustrating and caused anxiety that the practice did not choose to work with their Primary Care Network colleagues to deliver the vaccine to their patients. However, the way the vaccination programme was commissioned meant that it was voluntary for practices to participate and Ivers Medical Practice felt they did not have the capacity to do so whilst meeting

the everyday demands of general practice. It was therefore appropriate for the CCG to step in to ensure their patients were covered.

I look forward to receiving any further information about your constituent's complaints and will let you know of the progress of our inquiries.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Majilton', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Robert Majilton
Deputy Chief Officer
NHS Buckinghamshire CCG